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#### Abstract

Bis(diphenylphosphino)methane dioxide ( $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ ) forms eight-coordinate cations $\left.\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd})$ on reaction in a $4: 1$ molar ratio with the appropriate $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3}$ in ethanol. Similar reaction in a 3:1 ratio produced seven-coordinate $\left.\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{Dy}, \mathrm{Ho}, \mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Tm}, \mathrm{Yb})$, whilst $\mathrm{LuCl}_{3}$ alone produced six-coordinate $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$. The complexes have been characterised by $\mathrm{IR},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectroscopy. X-ray structures show that $\left.\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Gd})$ contain square antiprismatic cations, whilst $\left.\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Yb}, \mathrm{Dy}, \mathrm{Lu})$ have distorted pentagonal bipyramidal structures with apical Cl . The $\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ has a cis octahedral cation. The structure of $\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ is also reported. The change in coordination numbers and geometry along the series is driven by the decreasing lanthanide cation radii, but the chloride counter anions also play a role.
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## 1. Introduction

Early work viewed the chemistry of the lanthanides (Ln) ( $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}-\mathrm{Lu}, \neq \mathrm{Pm}$ unless otherwise indicated) in oxidation state III as very similar and often only two or three elements were examined, and the results were assumed to apply to all. More recent work has shown this to be a very unreliable approach and detailed studies of all fourteen elements (excluding only the radioactive Pm ) are required to establish properties and trends [1,2]. Sometimes yttrium is also included since it is similar in size to holmium. The main changes along the series are due to the lanthanide contraction, the reduction in the radius of the $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ ions between $\mathrm{La}(1.22 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Lu}(0.85 \AA)$, and at some point a reduction in coordination number may be driven by steric effects, especially with bulky ligands. However, the decrease in radius also results in an increase in the charge/radius ratio along the series and this can lead to significant electronic effects on the ligand preferences. This interplay of steric and electronic effects means that changes in coordination number or ligand donor set can occur at different points along the series with different ligands. The effects are very nicely demonstrated in a recent article, which examined the changes which occurred in the series of lanthanide nitrates with complexes of $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl, $2,4,6$-tri- $\alpha$-pyridyl-1,3,5-triazine and $2,2^{\prime} ; 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine [2]. Tertiary phosphine oxides have proved popular ligands to explore lanthanide chemistry and the area has been the subject of a comprehensive review [3], and several detailed studies of trends along the series La-Lu have been reported [4-7]. We reported bis(diphenylphosphino)methane dioxide ( $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ ) formed square-antiprismatic
cations $\left[\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{3+}$ with Cl , I or $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ counter ions, but lutetium gave only octahedral $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}[8]$. Other dppmO 2 complexes reported include several types with $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}[4]$, $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{3}[9]$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{3}[10]$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{3}$ and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{3}$ [11]. Here, we report a systematic study of the systems $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3}$ - $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ for all fourteen accessible lanthanides.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Infrared spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls between CsI plates using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 spectrometer over the range $4000-200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker AV-II 400 spectrometer and are referenced to the protio resonance of the solvent and $85 \%$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, respectively. Microanalyses were undertaken by London Metropolitan University or Medac. Hydrated lanthanide trichlorides and anhydrous $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3}(\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Ho})$ were from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. The $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (Sigma-Aldrich) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was converted to $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ by air oxidation catalysed by $\mathrm{SnI}_{4}$ [12].

X-Ray Experimental. Details of the crystallographic data collection and refinement parameters are given in Table 1. Many attempts were made to grow crystals for X-ray examination from a variety of solvents including EtOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, either by slow evaporation or layering with hexane or pentane. The crystal quality was often rather poor, and all of the structures have disordered co-solvent, either water or ethanol. No attempt was made to locate the protons on the co-solvent. Several showed disorder in one or more of the phenyl rings. Good-quality crystals used for single crystal X-ray analysis were grown from $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right){ }_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane $)$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, $\left[\mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3},\left[\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(\mathrm{EtOH}),\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ $(\mathrm{EtOH}),\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right.$.

Data collections used a Rigaku AFC12 goniometer equipped with a HyPix-600HE detector mounted at the window of an FR-E+ SuperBright molybdenum ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) rotating anode generator with VHF Varimax optics ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ focus) with the crystal held at $100 \mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right.$ cryostream). Structure solution and refinements were performed with either SHELX(S/L)97 or SHELX(S/L)2013 [13,14]. The crystallographic data in cif format have been deposited as CCDC 2033611-2033618.

All samples were dried in high vacuum at room temperature for several hours, but this treatment does not remove lattice water or alcohol. Heating the samples in vacuo is likely to cause some decomposition of the complexes [7] and was not applied.
[ $\left.\left.\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were made as described [8]. The individual new complexes were isolated as described below, with yields of $50-80 \%$.
$\left.\left[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dppmO $2(0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 0.268 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded colourless crystals of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, by concentrating the ethanolic solution and layering with $n$-hexane ( 1 mL ). Required for $\mathrm{C}_{100} \mathrm{H}_{100} \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{8}$ (2020.1): C, 59.46; $\mathrm{H}, 4.99 \%$. Found: C, 59.50; H, 4.50\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 3.60\left(\mathrm{vbr},[8 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, $7.10(\mathrm{~s},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m},[16 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.70(\mathrm{~m},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=48.6(\mathrm{~s})$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3500 br, $1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1158,1099 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left.\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{PrCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.117 \mathrm{~g}, 0.281 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. A white powdered solid formed on slow evaporation of the ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{100} \mathrm{H}_{100} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Pr}$ (2020.9): C, 59.43; $\mathrm{H}, 4.99 \%$. Found: C, $59.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.62 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=4.63\left(\mathrm{~m},[8 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~s},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph})$, $7.44(\mathrm{~m},[16 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 8.19(\mathrm{~m},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=64.0(\mathrm{~s})$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3500 br, $1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1161,1102(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.

Table 1. X-ray crystallographic data ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]} \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{EtOH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left.\left[\mathrm{Sm}_{\mathrm{ClppmO}}^{2}\right)_{4}\right] \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 9.5 \mathrm{EtOH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]} \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{EtOH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right]} \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 5 \mathrm{EtOH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right]} \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 3.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ] $\mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{0 . 5 H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]} \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{dppmO} \\ 2 \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{118} \mathrm{H}_{142} \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{17} \mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{119} \mathrm{H}_{145} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{17.5} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Sm}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{114} \mathrm{H}_{130} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{GdO}_{15} \mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{87} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{Yb}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{78.5} \mathrm{H}_{93} \mathrm{Cl}_{10} \mathrm{LuO}_{16} \mathrm{P}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{LuO}_{4.5} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100} \mathrm{H}_{114} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Yb}$ |
| M | 2326.54 | 2359.80 | 2251.53 | 1805.010 | 2007.81 | 1208.49 | 2179.06 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic |
| Space group (no.) | P2/c (13) | P2/c (13) | P2/c (13) | Pc (7) | Pcca (54) | Pbca (61) | Pbca (61) |
| $a / \AA$ | 29.5926(4 | 29.7348(4) | 29.2352(5) | 14.1964(2) | 47.7209(4) | 21.1303(3) | 26.1035(2) |
| b/Å | 23.2600(2) | 23.1120(2) | 23.1885(3) | 12.9572(2) | 12.7431(1) | 21.7424(5) | 27.6790(2) |
| $c / \AA$ | 18.0187(2) | 17.9915(3) | 17.7500(3) | 24.0141(3) | 28.3698(2) | 22.1612(3) | 29.1187(2) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 107.4810(10) | 106.988(2) | 107.116(2) | 95.880(1) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $U / \AA^{3}$ | 11829.9(2) | 11824.8(3) | 11500.1(3) | 4394.05(11) | 17252.0(2) | 10181.4(3) | 21038.8(3) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right) / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.613 | 0.724 | 0.817 | 1.322 | 1.629 | 2.372 | 1.153 |
| F(000) | 4340 | 4348 | 4676 | 1864 | 8184 | 4844 | 8984 |
| Total number reflns | 183494 | 181022 | 169524 | 66370 | 22287 | 75026 | 423866 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0372 | 0.0393 | 0.0561 | 0.0354 | 0.0642 | 0.0558 | 0.0323 |
| Unique reflns | 30589 | 30564 | 24123 | 21530 | 22287 | 13145 | 27176 |
| No. of params, restraints | 1253, 132 | 1261, 35 | 1143, 0 | 849, 65 | 937,264 | 621, 5 | 1240, 1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{wR}_{2}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.0396, 0.0831 | 0.0371, 0.0788 | 0.0526, 0.1302 | 0.0346, 0.0798 | 0.0906, 0.1916 | 0.0337, 0.0708 | 0.0277, 0.0755 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{wR}_{2}$ (all data) | 0.0516, 0.0870 | 0.0532, 0.0846 | 0.0650,0.1361 | 0.0387, 0.0814 | 0.0935, 0.1926 | 0.0552, 0.0774 | 0.0331, 0.0783 |

[^0]$\left[\mathrm{Nd}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{NdCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.116 \mathrm{~g}, 0.279 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. A white powdered solid formed on slow evaporation of the ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{100} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NdO}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{8}$ (1988.2): C, 60.41; $\mathrm{H}, 4.87 \%$. Found: C, $60.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.62 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 3.66\left(\mathrm{~m},[8 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, $7.14(\mathrm{~s},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m},[16 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.76(\mathrm{~m},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=62.9(\mathrm{~s})$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}: 3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1159 \mathrm{~s}, 1101 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{SmCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.114 \mathrm{~g}, 0.274 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Colourless crystals were formed via slow evaporation of the ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{100} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Sm}$ (1994.3): C, 60.22; H, $4.85 \%$. Found: C, $60.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.50 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 5.08\left(\mathrm{br},[8 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, $7.20(\mathrm{~s},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m},[16 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.83(\mathrm{~m},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=35.6$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}: 3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1162 \mathrm{~s}, 1101(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{EuCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.114 \mathrm{~g}, 0.274 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was stirred for 20 min . The solution was then concentrated, and colourless crystals were formed through layering with $n$-hexane ( 1 mL ). Required for $\mathrm{C}_{100} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{EuO}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{8}$ (1995.9): C, $60.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.87 \%$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 60.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.71 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 3.12\left(\mathrm{br},[8 \mathrm{H}]\right.$ PCH $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{P}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~s},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph})$, $7.38(\mathrm{~m},[16 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.83(\mathrm{~m},[32 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right): \delta=25.0(\mathrm{br}$, "free" dppmO 2$),-13.4$. IR (Nujol mull)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : $3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 1159, 1099 ( $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ ).
$\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{GdCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 0.269 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Colourless crystals were formed through slow evaporation of the solvent. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{100} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{GdO}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{8}$ (2001.2): $\mathrm{C}, 60.02$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.83 \%$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 60.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.86 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=$ no resonance. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\delta=$ no resonance. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}: 3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1160,1099(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{To}\right.$ a solution of $\mathrm{SmCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting white solid was washed with cold ethanol. Colourless crystals were obtained via slow evaporation of an ethanolic solution of the product. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{Sm}$ (1505.9): C, $59.80 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.42 \%$. Found: C, $59.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.55 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=3.67\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m},[6 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, 7.15 (br, [24H], Ph), $7.35(\mathrm{~m},[12 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 8.05(\mathrm{~m},[24 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=38.15(\mathrm{~s})$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 1153 s , $1097 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{EuCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 0.205 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting white solid was washed with cold ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{EuCl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{6}$ (1507.49): C, 59.76; H, 4.41\%. Found: C, 59.71; H, 4.56\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.66\left(\mathrm{br},[6 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, 7.03 (br m, [36H], Ph), 7.87 (br, [24H], Ph). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=-14.8(\mathrm{~s}) . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{Nujol} \mathrm{mull}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : $1153 \mathrm{~s}, 1098 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{GdCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.084 \mathrm{~g}, 0.201 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting white solid was washed with cold ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{Gd}$ (166.8): C, $57.49, \mathrm{H}, 4.63 \%$; Found: C, $57.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.43 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : no resonance. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : no resonance. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}: 3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1155 \mathrm{~s}, 1098 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left.\left[\mathrm{Tb}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.084 \mathrm{~g}, 0.201 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting white solid was washed with cold ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{~Tb}$ (1532.5): C, $58.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.47 \%$. Found: C, $59.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.54 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=1.9\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $3.50\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m},[6 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right), 5.89(\mathrm{br},[36 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.46(\mathrm{br},[24 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=-29.2(\mathrm{~s})$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ : $3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1153 \mathrm{~s}, 1097 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.083 \mathrm{~g}, 0.199 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solution was filtered
then concentrated and layered with hexane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ yielding a white powdered product. Colourless crystals were formed by layering a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the product with hexane. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{DyO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{6}$ (1536.0): C, $58.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.46 \%$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 58.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.63 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=1.9$ (vbr H2O), 3.66 (br m, [6H], $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ ), 7.33 (br, [36H], Ph ), 8.66 (br, [24H], Ph ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\delta=18(\mathrm{vbr}, \mathrm{s})$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}: 3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1156 \mathrm{~s}, 1099 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
[ $\left.\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - To a solution of $\mathrm{HoCl}_{3}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.230 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting pale pink solid was washed with cold ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{HoO}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{6}$ (1538.5): C, $58.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.55 \%$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 59.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.52 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=2.1\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 3.72 (br s, [6H], $\left.\left.\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right), 6.78(\mathrm{br},[36 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.68(\mathrm{br},[24 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph})\right] .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=-13.5(\mathrm{~s})$. IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ : $3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1154 \mathrm{~s}, 1097 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
[ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{ErCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.082 \mathrm{~g}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting white solid was washed with cold ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{ErO}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{6}$ (1576.8): C, $57.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.60 \%$. Found: C, $57.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.54 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=\delta=1.2\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 3.25 (br s, [6H], $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right), 5.52(\mathrm{vbr},[12 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph}), 7.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s},[24 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph})\right], 7.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s},[24 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph})\right] .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=-60.8$ (s). IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}: 3500 \mathrm{br}, 1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1155 \mathrm{~s}, 1097 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right){ }_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-To a solution of $\mathrm{TmCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.081 \mathrm{~g}, 0.195 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting white solid was washed with cold ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{Tm}$ (1578.5): C, $57.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.60 \%$. Found: C, $56.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.45 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=3.48\left(\mathrm{~m},[6 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, 7.11 (br, [24H], Ph), $7.68(\mathrm{br},[36 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{Ph})] .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=-54.8(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{Nujol}\right.$ mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3500 br, $1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1156 \mathrm{~s}, 1096 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{To}$ a solution of $\mathrm{YbCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}(0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 0.194 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting white powder was washed with cold ethanol. Required for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{Yb}$ (1546.58): C, $58.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.43 \%$. Found: C, $58.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.45 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=3.50\left(\mathrm{~m},[6 \mathrm{H}], \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, 6.64 (br, [24H], Ph), 7.15 (br, [36H], Ph). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta=+9.2$ (s). IR (Nujol mull)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3500 br, $1630\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 1154 \mathrm{~s}, 1097 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O})$.

## 3. Results

The reaction of $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}[8], \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}$ or $\mathrm{Gd} ; n=6$ or 7$)$ with four mol. equivalents of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ in ethanol gave good yields of tetrakis-dppmO ${ }_{2}$ complexes, $\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$. The IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra show the the isolated complexes retain significant amounts of lattice water, and sometimes EtOH , which is not removed by prolonged drying of the bulk powders in vacuo. The high molecular weights make the microanalyses rather insensitive to the amount of water, but are generally consistent with a formulation $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(n=6: \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr} ; n=4: \mathrm{Nd}$, $\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}$ ), although the amount of lattice solvent probably varies with the sample and is unlikely to be stoichiometric. The presence of significant amounts of lattice solvent is common in lanthanide phosphine oxide systems [7-10], and although evident in X-ray crystal structures, it is often disordered and difficult to model. Obtaining good quality crystals of the complexes proved difficult, but crystals of the $\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Sm}$ and Gd salts were obtained from various organic solvents and the compositions are shown in Table 1. The crystals contain different amounts of solvent of crystallisation to the bulk samples as they were grown from different media (and crystals were not dried in vacuo). The IR spectra (Table 2) show that the $v(\mathrm{PO})$ stretch in $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ at $1187 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ has been lost and replaced by a new very strong and broad band $\sim 1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a second band at $\sim 1100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are due to the coordinated phosphine oxide groups. The frequencies appear invariant with the lanthanide present, which may be due to small differences being obscurred by the width of the bands. In $\left[\mathrm{LnCl} \mathrm{l}_{3}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{LnCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$the frequency of the $v(\mathrm{PO})$ stretch increases by $\sim 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ between La and Lu [7]. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shift of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ at $\delta=+25.3$ shows a high frequency shift to +33.1
in $\left.\left[\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, whilst the corresponding spectra of the $\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Nd}$ and Sm complexes show larger shifts due to the presence of the paramagnetic lanthanide ion (Table 2). In contrast, although the solid $\left.\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ complex was isolated without difficulty, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectrum shows a strong feature at $\delta \sim+25$ ("free" $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ ), along with a second resonance at $\delta=-13.4$, which may be assigned to $\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (see below), indicating substantial dissociation of one dppmO 2 in solution; the broad resonance of the free $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ is indicative of exchange on the NMR timescale. $\left[\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ was isolated, and its constitution confirmed by its X-ray crystal structure, but no ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ or ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR resonances were observed, an effect seen in other gadolinium systems [6,7] and ascribed to fast relaxation by the $\mathrm{f}^{7}$ configuration of the metal. Attempts to isolate $\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{Cl}}^{3}$ complexes for $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Dy}$-Lu were unsuccessful. We note that $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{3}[9]$ was isolated with triflate counter ions, but with chloride only $\left[\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was produced (below). An in situ ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed a single resonance at $\delta=+48$, which is consistent with formation of $\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{3+}$, confirming the preference for formation of the tetrakis complexes early in the series, even when there is a deficit of ligand.

Table 2. IR and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectroscopic data.

| Complex | $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $v(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dppmO ${ }_{2}$ | +25.3 | 1187 |
| $\left[\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right)^{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | +33.1 | 1159, 1100 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | +48.6 | 1158, 1099 |
| $\left.\left[\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | +64.0 | 1161, 1102 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right]^{\text {Cl }}{ }_{3}$ | +62.9 | 1159, 1101 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | +35.6 | 1162, 1101 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | -13.4 (+25 dppmO${ }_{2}$ ) | 1159, 1099 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | Not observed | 1160, 1099 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | +38.0 | 1153, 1097 |
| [ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -14.8 | 1153, 1098 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {Cl }}{ }_{2}$ | Not observed | 1155, 1099 |
| [ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Tb}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -29.2 | 1153, 1097 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ | +18.0 | 1156, 1099 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ | -13.5 | 1154, 1095 |
| $\left.\left[\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -60.75 | 1155, 1097 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {ClCl }} 2\right.$ | -54.8 | 1156, 1096 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | +9.2 | 1154, 1097 |
| $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{\text {Cl }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | +40.0 | 1158, 1098 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $+38.3$ |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution $298 \mathrm{~K} ;{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Nujol mull; ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Ref. [8].

The X-ray structures of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (Figure 1), $\left.\left[\mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (Figure 2) and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (Figure 3) show distorted square antiprismatic cations, very similar to those in $\left.\left[\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}[8]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Nd}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ [15]. The average $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in this series are: $\mathrm{La}=2.514 \AA, \mathrm{Ce}=2.486 \AA, \mathrm{Nd}=2.465 \AA, \mathrm{Sm}=2.429 \AA$ and $\mathrm{Gd}=2.420 \AA$, correlating well with the decreasing $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}$ radii ( $\mathrm{La}=1.216 \AA, \mathrm{Ce}=1.196 \AA, \mathrm{Nd}=1.163 \AA, \mathrm{Sm}=1.132 \AA, \mathrm{Gd}=1.107 \AA$ ). The $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ chelate angles do not vary significantly along the series. The $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{P})$ distances in $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ are markedly longer than those in $\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (2.372(2)-2.423(2) $\AA$ ) [16], which has a distorted dodecahedral geometry with a $\mathrm{CeO}_{8}$ donor set.


Figure 1. The cation in $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$. The chloride anions and solvate molecules are omitted. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ : $\mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=2.4874(14), \mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=2.4790(14), \mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=2.4967(13)$, $\mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.4803(14), \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.5031(14), \mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 2=1.5021(14), \mathrm{P} 3-\mathrm{O} 3=1.5018(14), \mathrm{P} 4-\mathrm{O} 4=1.5031(14)$. Chelate angle $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{O}=73.1^{\circ}(\mathrm{av})$.


Figure 2. The cation in $\left[\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$. The chloride anions and solvate molecules are omitted. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ : Sm1-O1 $=2.4160(14), \mathrm{Sm} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=2.4400(15), \mathrm{Sm} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=2.4358(14)$, $\mathrm{Sm} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.4268(15), \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.5025(15), \mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 2=1.5019(15), \mathrm{P} 3-\mathrm{O} 3=1.4961(15), \mathrm{P} 4-\mathrm{O} 4=1.4961(16)$. Chelate angle O-Sm-O $=72.9^{\circ}$ (av).


Figure 3. The cation in $\left.\left[\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$. The chloride anions and solvate molecules are omitted. Selected bond lengths $(\AA): \mathrm{Gd} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=2.420(2), \mathrm{Gd} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=2.409(3), \mathrm{Gd} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=2.415(2), \mathrm{Gd} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.398(2)$, $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.504(2), \mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 2=1.501(3), \mathrm{P} 3-\mathrm{O} 3=1.501(3), \mathrm{P} 4-\mathrm{O} 4=1.501(3)$. Chelate angle $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{O}=73.1^{\circ}$ (av).

The reaction of $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{Dy}, \mathrm{Ho}, \mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Tm}, \mathrm{Yb})$ with 3 mol. equivalents of dppmO ${ }_{2}$ in EtOH, followed by concentration of the solution or precipitation with hexane, afforded $\left.\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ complexes. Examination of the IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra indicated these incorporated less water or ethanol lattice solvent molecules than the $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, and this was confirmed by the microanalyses. The Sm and Eu complexes appear largely free of solvent of crystallisation, whilst the Tb , Ho and Yb approximate to $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the Gd , Er and Tm complexes are $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; again, this is likely to vary from sample to sample and with the isolation method. The IR spectra (Table 2) show the two $v(\mathrm{PO})$ bands as in the tetrakis complexes, but the higher energy bands of the tris complexes are $\sim 5-10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower in frequency than in the former. We were unable to identify $v(\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{Cl})$ vibrations in the far IR spectra. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectra of the $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ show single resonances to high or low frequency of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ depending on the $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}}$ configuration of the Ln ion present (Table 2) and are generally similar to those found in other systems [5-7], although the magnitude of the shifts varies widely with the specific $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}}$ configuration. The line broadening is also highly variable between complexes of different Ln ions. The addition of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{Dy}, \mathrm{Ho}, \mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Tm}, \mathrm{Yb})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR resonances assignable to "free" $\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, but no new resonances that could be attributed to the formation of significant amounts of $\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right)^{3+}$. Although the resonances are broad in some cases, the observed chemical shifts are identical to those in pure $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. For $\left[\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\right)=38$, the resonance shifts to $\delta=35.6$ upon addition of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$, attributable to the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, showing that both tris- and tetrakis-dppmO ${ }_{2}$ complexes exist in solution for samarium in the presence of the appropriate amount of ligand.

The X-ray structures of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Er}-\mathrm{O}=2.28 \AA\right.$ av.) $\left.[17],\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (Figure $4 ; \mathrm{Yb}-\mathrm{O}=2.28 \AA$ av.) and $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (Figure S43) show pentagonal bipyramidal cations with an apical chloride. The Ln-O distances are rather variable ( $\mathrm{Er}-\mathrm{O}=2.244(6)-2.328(6) \AA$; $\mathrm{Yb}-\mathrm{O}=2.250(2)-2.269(3) \AA)$, but are shorter than those in the tetrakis-dppmO 2 cations, reflecting both the reduced coordination number and the smaller metal ion $\operatorname{radii}(\mathrm{Er}=1.062, \mathrm{Yb}=1.042 \AA$ ). The contraction in ionic radii is also evident in the $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of $2.598(2) \AA(\mathrm{Er})$ and $2.5829(9) \AA(\mathrm{Yb})$. Crystals
of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were also obtained and show the same cation type, but during refinement, several of the phenyl rings exhibited severe disorder and the data are therefore not included here (Figure S43).


Figure 4. The X -ray structure of $\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The chloride anions and solvate molecules are omitted. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : $\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{Cl1}=2.5834(9), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=2.298(3)$, $\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=2.282(3), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=2.250(2), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.248(2), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 5=2.338(2), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 6=2.269(3)$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}=1.494(3)-1.509(3), \mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=173.89(9), \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=73.79(9), \mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=80.64(9)$, $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 6=73.95(9)$.

Lutetium was previously reported to form the only bis-dppmO ${ }_{2}$ complex, $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$, in this series [8], and this has now been confirmed by the X-ray crystal structure which shows a cis-octahedral geometry (Figure 5). The Lu-O distance of $2.230 \AA$ (av) is shorter than the Ln-O distances in the seven- or eigth-coordinate complexes, and correlates both with the reduced coordination number and the smaller radius of $\mathrm{Lu}^{3+}(1.032 \AA)$. Treatment of a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ with dppmO ${ }_{2}$ caused the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}$ resonance to shift from +40 to +38.3 , which suggests that $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ forms in solution. A few crystals of this product were isolated from a mixture containing excess $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$. These showed a pentagonal bipyramidal dication (Figure 6). As expected, the $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Lu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are slightly longer than in the six-coordinate cation, but are shorter than the corresponding bonds in $\left[\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, showing that the expected contraction continues along the series. The complex, $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{3}$, is known and its X-ray crystal structure showed seven-coordinate lutetium [11]. Although not confirmed by an X-ray structure, yttrium is reported to form a six-coordinate complex, $\left[\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ [18].

A different crystal isolated from the $\mathrm{YbCl}_{3}-\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ reaction proved, on structure solution, to be $\left.\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{dppmO}_{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Figure 7), which contains a seven-coordinate Yb centre coordinated to three $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ and a water molecule, with the Lu-coordinated water hydrogen-bonded to an adjacent uncoordinated dppmO ${ }_{2}$ molecule. The geometry is best described as a very distorted pentagonal bipyramid with the water occupying an equatorial position and is similar to the geometry found in $\left.\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{3}[11]$. The $\mathrm{Yb}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ distance of $2.3263(14) \AA$ is $\sim 0.05 \AA$ longer than the $\mathrm{Yb}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{P})$.

A large number of disordered solvate water molecules were also present, which proved very difficult to model, but the geometry of the ytterbium cation is clearly defined.


Figure 5. The cation in $\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$. Selected bond lengths ( A ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : $\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1=2.5581(8), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2=2.5163(7), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=2.227(2), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=2.227(2), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=2.274(2)$, $\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.200(2), \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.510(2), \mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{O} 2=1.506(2), \mathrm{P} 3-\mathrm{O} 3=1.513(2), \mathrm{P} 4-\mathrm{O} 4=1.507(2)$, $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1=95.97(3), \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1=97.27(6), \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2=99.68(6), \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=81.56(8)$, $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=85.65(7), \mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2=91.94(5), \mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=84.89(7), \mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1=87.22(6)$, $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1=94.66(6), \mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2=92.55(6), \mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=84.74(8), \mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=81.36(7)$.


Figure 6. The X -ray structure of $\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The chloride anions and solvate molecules are omitted. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : Lu1-Cl1 $=2.5604(7), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=2.341(5)$, $\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=2.268(5), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=2.268(5), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.297(5), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 5=2.354(5), \mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 6=2.227(5)$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}=1.497(5)-1.510(5), \mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 6=176.35(14), \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=73.37(17), \mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Lu} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=73.37(17)$, O5-Lu1-O6 = 83.45(18).


Figure 7. The cation in $\left.\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{D}_{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ also showing the hydrogen-bonded dppmO ${ }_{2}$ molecule. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ : $\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 3=2.2341(14), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 2=2.2899(13)$, $\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 9=2.3263(14), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=2.2683(13), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 6=2.2208(13), \mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=2.2328(13)$, $\mathrm{Yb} 1-\mathrm{O} 5=2.2696(14), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}(n=1-6)=1.5034(14)-1.5072(14), \mathrm{P} 7-\mathrm{O} 7=1.4924(15), \mathrm{P} 8-\mathrm{O} 8=1.4926(15)$.

## 4. Discussion

The chemistry of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ with lanthanides described in the previous section proves to be very systematic along the series $\mathrm{La}-\mathrm{Lu}$. For $\mathrm{La}-\mathrm{Gd}$, it was possible to isolate $\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$. Although it could be isolated in the solid state, the solution ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopic data indicate that $\left.\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ was largely dissociated in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution into $\left.\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; the isolation of the tetrakis-dppmO $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ complex no doubt resulting from it being the least soluble species in an exchanging mixture in solution, although present in very minor amounts. The case of $\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ is likely to be similar, although the fast relaxation of the $\mathrm{f}^{7}$ ion precluded ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ study. For the elements $\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{Yb}$, the complexes $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were readily isolated, but only for samarium was it possible to convert $\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution by treatment with more dppmO ${ }_{2}$. Similarly, at the end of the series, the complex isolated was $\left[\mathrm{Lu}\left(\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$, for which treatment with $\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ afforded a new species in solution, identified as $\left[\mathrm{Lu}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ by a structure determination from a few crystals obtained in the presence of excess $\mathrm{dppmO} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, although a bulk sample could not be isolated [8]. The change from eight-coordination in $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ at the beginning of the series, to seven-coordination from Sm onwards, and finally to six-coordination at Lu , parallels the reduction in $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}$ radii. Isolation of both the eight- and seven-coordinate complexes was possible only for $\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}$ and Gd . However, one should note that the chloride counter ions also have some role, in that whilst in the $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3} / \mathrm{dppmO} 2$ series tetrakis-dppmO ${ }_{2}$ species did not form beyond Gd , the complex $\left.\left[\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{dppmO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{3}[9]$ has been isolated from dmf solution with triflate counter ions. The role that anions and solvents play in lanthanide chemistry is often overlooked [2], but can be critical in determining which complex is isolated from solution. For example, the reaction of $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ results in isolation of $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$ from acetone, but $\left[\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ from ethanol [7]. On further examination by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy, both species were found to be present in either solvent (in varying amounts), and the form isolated
reflected the least soluble complex in the particular solvent, which then precipitated from the mixture of rapidly interconverting species.

## 5. Conclusions

Through this synthetic, structural and spectroscopic study of the coordination of $\mathrm{dppmO}_{2}$ to the lanthanide trichlorides, we have established where the switch from eight-, to seven-, to six-coordination at the Ln (III) centre occurs along the lanthanide series, with X-ray crystallographic authentication for representative examples. The data also reveal subtle, but systematic, variations in the spectroscopic (e.g., $v(\mathrm{PO})$ ) and structural parameters across the series, reflecting the change in ionic radii, the charge:radius ratio and also the influence of the presence of the competitive chloride ions.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ common data: $\mathrm{T}=100 \mathrm{~K}$; wavelength $\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)=0.71073 \AA ; \theta(\max )=27.5^{\circ} ;{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{Fo}|-|\mathrm{Fc}| / \Sigma| \mathrm{Fo} \mid ; \mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}-\mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{wFo}{ }^{4}\right]^{1 / 2}$.

